Pain Relief in Patients with Spinal Metastases Undergoing Spinal Instrumentation
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Spine represents the third most common site of metastasis after lung and liver and is a frequent cause leading to neurosurgical consultation. Affected individuals usually present with symptoms of pain or progressive weakness of bilateral lower extremities. Pain is an important factor to consider as it significantly reduces the quality of life and leads to recumbency. However, increasing age and relative frail nature of patients with metastatic disease makes decision making difficult. As the treatment options for metastatic spine continues to grow, it has now become evident that devising a treatment plan can only be achieved by multidisciplinary team approach. The objective of our study is to assess pre and post-op VAS of these patients following surgical stabilization of metastatic unstable spine.

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted at Department of Neurosurgery, Liaquat National Hospital, Karachi. 50 consecutive patients who underwent spinal stabilization procedures due to instability or intractable pain from a period of June 2017 to June 2018 were included in study. We assessed their pre and post-operative pain using Visual Analog Scale (VAS). The decision to operate was based on the degree of instability either potentially or completely unstable on the basis of Spinal Instability Neoplastic Score (SINS), progressive neurological deficit, severity of pain and survival more than 6 months. Average survival was calculated using Revised Tokuhashi Score.

Results: 50 patients underwent spinal stabilization procedure due to spinal metastasis. Average age of patient was 56.78 ± 10.93 years and a minimum of 6 months follow-up was present in all cases. Mean VAS score for all these patients at baseline was 7.9 ± 1.44 and post-operatively average VAS score at 1 month was 1.8 ± 0.57. Significant pain control was achieved after the surgery (p<0.001). 2(4%) patients underwent debridement due to infection along with I/V antibiotics and recovered satisfactorily.

Conclusion: All patients showed significant improvement in pain control following surgery with minimal complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Spine represents the third most common site of metastasis after lung and liver and is a frequent cause leading to neurosurgical consultation [1, 2]. It can cause a number of sequelae including pain, instability, and neurologic deficit. If left untreated, progressive myelopathy can result in the loss of motor, sensory, and autonomic functions. The five most common malignancies that metastasize to spine include breast, lungs, prostate, kidneys and thyroid with breast being the most common in females and lung in males [3]. Majority of such patients present with gradual onset lower limb weakness or pain localized to the site of vertebral involvement. The purpose of neurosurgical consultation in all these patients is to identify those who will benefit with decompression and stabilization procedures. One of the important features that may facilitate diagnosis is the tendency to spare disc spaces where as infective lesions tend to involve the discs [4]. Among the routes mentioned above, hematogenous spread through Batson’s plexus system is the most common pathway for tumor embolization and spinal invasion [5]. In certain circumstances, it is usually the mets that become symptomatic which makes necessary a search for primary [6]. A general rule that is being followed is to offer surgery to all those patients whose expected survival is more than 6 months [7]. The goal of surgery is to achieve adequate neural decompression while simultaneously utilizing various internal fixation devices to stabilize the spinal column. As the treatment options for metastatic spine continue to grow, it has now become evident that devising a treatment plan can only be achieved by multidisciplinary team approach. The objective of our study is to assess pre and post-operative pain in patients underwent spinal instrumentation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed 50 consecutive patients who underwent spinal stabilization procedures at Liaquat National Hospital due to instability or intractable pain from a period of June 2017 to June 2018. Spinal Instrumentation means to achieve spine rigidity by surgically fixating it with rods, screws and hooks in a way that it restores its normal height and curvature. We assessed pre and post-operative pain of patients underwent spinal stabilization which was measured using Visual Analog Scale (VAS). All these patients were referred to neurosurgical clinic by oncologist due to recently diagnosed spinal metastasis and were extensively evaluated preoperatively. CT scan and MRI
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contrast of spine was performed in all patients. CT scan chest and abdomen was also performed to assess the systemic distribution of disease and to calculate expected survival. The inclusion criteria was based on the degree of instability either potentially or completely unstable on the basis of Spinal Instability Neoplastic Score (SINS), progressive neurological deficit, severity of pain and survival more than 6 months of either gender and age. Depending upon the degree of instability and patients characteristics like age and co-morbidities, anterior or posterior fusion surgery was planned in a surgeons preferred manner. Patients who had stable spine and survival less than 6 months were not offered surgery and excluded from the study. Patients’ survival was calculated using revised Tokuhashi score. Patients with a revised Tokuhashi score of more than 11 (good prognosis) survive an average of 25 months, those with a score of 9-11 (moderate prognosis) survive an average of 17 months, and those with a score of 8 or less (poor prognosis) survive an average of 5 months [8]. The severity of pain both pre and post-operative was calculated using VAS. Post-operative VAS at 1-2 weeks was not considered reliable due to different levels of analgesia required depending upon the severity of pain. Postoperative VAS scoring was done at 1 month after complete healing of wound and 4 weeks of rehabilitation and occupational therapy. It was measured at 4 weeks when all patients were weaned off pain medications.

Patients’ demographics and clinical variables were summarized in terms of mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables and frequencies with percentages for categorical variables. Pre and post-operatively VAS was compared using paired t-test. P-value < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed on statistical package SPSS version 22.

RESULTS

50 patients underwent spinal stabilization procedure due to spinal metastasis. Average age of patient was 56.78 ± 10.93 years and all patients were followed for a variable period of 6-18 months. A minimum of 6 months follow-up was present in all cases. The site of metastasis was dorsal in 22(44%) patients, lumbar in 19(38%) patients, cervical in 7(14%) patients, and sacral in 2(4%) patients (Fig. 1). The source of metastasis was identified to be breast in 13(26%) patients, lung in 11(22%) patients, prostate in 4(8%) patients, renal cell in 5(10%) patients, bladder in 3(6%) patients, liver in 3(6%) patients, gastrointestinal in 2(4%) patients, and thyroid in 2(4%) patients and unidentified in 7(14%) cases.

Mean VAS score for all these patients at baseline was 7.9 ± 1.44 and post-operatively average VAS score at 1 month was 1.8 ± 0.57. On an average, there was decrease of 6.1 ± 1.50 in post-operative VAS score which indicated that all patients achieved significant pain control following their surgery (p<0.001) (Fig. 2). 2(4%) patients underwent debridement due to infection along with I/V antibiotics and recovered satisfactorily.

Fig. (2): Pre- and post-operative comparison of VAS score.

Note: Pre-op VAS = pre-operative visual analogue scale score, Post-op VAS = post-operative visual analogue scale score, **P<0.001 = significant at p<0.01.

DISCUSSION

Spinal metastasis is a common entity and requires a multidisciplinary team approach. One of the major aspects in the treatment of such patients is estimating life expectancy. This should be done on the basis of universally accepted criteria. Many such scoring systems have been developed which can help in guiding treatment and selecting patients for surgery. Tomita et al. described a system based on 3 factors (primary tumor, visceral and bone metastases). Tokuhashi et al. devised a system of 6 parameters, which was later subjected to revision with the addition of Karnofsky score, neurological status and tumor type. Similarly, North et al. gave a classification system, which found non breast metastasis, recurrence, multilevel surgery, and paraplegia to be significant factors in prognosis. All these scores provide rough estimation of expected survival. Considering the fact the revised Tokuhashi score takes into consideration the most factors including patient and tumor, it was selected as a mean of survival calculator in our patients.

Majority of patients presented with pain being the most common and usually the first symptom [9]. At this stage a detailed examination followed by staging of the disease is required with simultaneous control of pain. Most of these oncological patients are prescribed morphine for their pain control but occasionally it may not be sufficient and may only provide pain relief in recumbency leading to significant limitation in movement. Localized radiotherapy is an alternate modality to address radiosensitive
Spinal metastases require a multidisciplinary team approach in terms of systemic control of disease, pain management and surgical considerations. Pain represents the most important element because it adds considerable suffering to the patient.

**CONCLUSION**

We conclude that all patients showed significant improvement in pain control following surgery with minimal complications.
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**QUESTION #1:**

**Dr. Sobia Tabassum**

60-year-old woman presented with fracture of left femur, her Hb is 8.9 gm% and creatinine is 2.5 mg/dl. Her peripheral blood film and bone marrow biopsy showed:

What are the high risk chromosomal abnormalities in above condition?

---

**QUESTION #2:**

45-year-old male patient came with history of abdominal pain. A triphasic CT abdomen was done.

What are the findings in the given images? What is your diagnosis?